A Bayesian network approach to food security modeling in Brazil

Thais C. O. Fonseca[1], Martine J. Barons[2], Jim Q. Smith[2] & Luiz E. S. Gomes[1]
[1] Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
[2] University of Warwick, UK

Abbreviated abstract: In the context of policies for complex systems, it is difficult for decision-makers to account for all the variables within the system. The usual approach to relate factors and outcomes is based on regression models that do not allow for cause-effect inference. Our proposal is based on Bayesian networks that can capture both non-linearities and complex cause-effect relationships. The outcome of this project is a probabilistic decision tool that integrates the main factors influencing food insecurity in Brazil.

UFRJ UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO

luizeduardo@dme.ufrj.br

3rd Conference on Statistics and Data Science
Salvador, Brazil (online)
October 28-30, 2021

Food security

"**Food security exists when all people**, at all times, have physical, social and economic **access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food** which meets their dietary needs and food preferences **for an active and healthy life**" (FAO, 2001).

Food insecurity can result in an increased risk of:

× Death or illness from stunting
× Weak responses to infections
× Diabetes
× Cardiovascular diseases
× Some Cancers;
× Mental ill health

Brazil's scenario:

↓ Politico-economic crisis (2014)
↓ Public spending cuts
↓ Demobilization of public policies
↓ Acceleration of increased hunger and food insecurity
↓ COVID-19 pandemics (2020)

! Food security is a **complex system involving many variables** and therefore **planning initiatives becomes an arduous task**.

$ It is essential to **optimize the allocation of available resources** due to the **reduced government budget**.

→ **Public policy evaluation** is an **immediate action**.

» Timeline of household food security surveys in Brazil:

PNAD/IBGE	PNAD/IBGE	PNAD/IBGE	POF/IBGE	VIGISAN/PENSSAN
2004	2009	2013	2018	2020

? Food insecurity classification:
No › Mild › Moderate › Severe

UFRJ
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO

3rd Conference on
Statistics and Data Science
Salvador, Brazil (online)
October 28-30, 2021

Bayesian networks

A Bayesian network (Pearl, 1988) is a **directed acyclic graph (DAG)** ς that encodes probabilistic relationships between the elements of a R.V. $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)'$ through

$$p(X_1, \dots, X_p | \varsigma) = \Pi_{i=1}^{p} p(X_i | \Pi_i, \varsigma), \quad X_i \perp X_j, \quad (i,j) = 1, \dots, p, \; X_j \notin \Pi_i.$$

ⓘ BNs are represented by two sets:
- ς: conditional independence assertions
- Π: local conditional distributions

ⓘⓘ BNs learning is performed in two steps:
- **Structure**: Learning ς from the data or expert knowledge
- **Parameter**: Learning local distribution parameters given learned ς

ⓘⓘⓘ BNs have attractive attributes:
- **Causality**: Structure can infer causal relationships
- **Scalability**: Parallel computing for large tasks



Figure: *The independence relationships between variables of Brazil's food security (reduced) system.*

Number of parameters:
- × Usual joint model: 1279
- ✓ BN model: 82

✓ BNs alleviate the **curse of dimensionality**

→ The framework knitting together the components of the subsystems of Brazil's food security system is the **discrete dynamic Bayesian networks.**

+ This new approach combines:
discrete BNs (Heckerman et al., 1995) with time evolution parameters (e.g. $\Theta_t \rightarrow \Theta_{t+1}$) via a **time-varying Dirichlet process** (Fonseca and Ferreira, 2017).

UFRJ
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO

luizeduardo@dme.ufrj.br

3rd Conference on
Statistics and Data Science
Salvador, Brazil (online)
October 28-30, 2021

Preliminary results

Scenario simulation/Policy evaluation

Table: *Simulated scenarios based on illustrative policies.*

Policy	% of food-secure households (CI 95%)	
	2019	2020
P1: 'do nothing'	60.8 (60.8;60.9)	45.8 (45.7;45.8)
P2: Decrease housing costs by 50% (all households)	62.1 (62.1;62.2)	47.1 (47.1;47.2)
P3: Income transfer program (R$ 600 for all households)	63.6 (63.5;63.6)	48.6 (48.6;48.7)

From **2004 to 2013**, there was a **progressive decrease in food insecurity**, especially in its most severe form.

After **economic crisis**, this **progress was reversed**. With the **outbreak of the pandemic**, the **reduction in FS** was even more **intense and abrupt**.

In the simulated scenarios, the **increase in income** would imply 2-3% of households (~ 4.3 to 6.2 mi people) **not exposed to food insecurity** during the COVID-19 pandemic.



Figure: *Estimated percentual path of food-secure households in Brazil, 2004 to 2020. The dots represent the empirical percentual.*

Groups comparison



Figure: *Odds ratio of the household food security in the presence and absence of water supply.*

Water insecurity is associated with food insecurity, especially in rural areas.

Households without water supply have always been **more exposed to food insecurity** in all regions. The pandemic maximized this exposure.

UFRJ
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO

luizeduardo@dme.ufrj.br (4)

3rd Conference on Statistics and Data Science
Salvador, Brazil (online)
October 28-30, 2021